Applications of InSAR for crustal deformation monitoring in Iceland

Rikke Pedersen

Nordic Volcanological Center, Institute of Earth Sciences University of Iceland

Outline

- Basic InSAR theory

 What is an InSAR image?
 Acquisition of images
 Geometrical limitations
 - Data error sources



Image of an earthquake

Sniffing out transcription factors Tropical cradle for biodiversity Seismological detection of a mantle plume?

- Application examples from Iceland
 - Regional
 - Local

InSAR image

An image displaying ground deformation

 $\Delta d (d_{1}d_2)$ is what we observe in the interferogram as color fringes

- Look angle

d₂ at t₂

 d_1 at t_1

- Wavelengths and frequencies
- The SAR antenna



- InSAR image
 - Look angle
 - 1-D measurement in the LOS

(line of sight)

0,442

LOS

Example of unit-vector: [0,442; -0,117; 0,889]

East North Up

> 0,889 Vertical unit vector



-0,117

North

→ East



- InSAR image
 - Look angle
 - Wavelengths and frequencies transmission
 - The SAR antenna

Antenna progression direction

Pulse

Signal recording during satellite motion

- Enhances azimut resolution 1000 times (5 km \Rightarrow 5 m)

- Image acquisition
 - Brightness of target
 - Incidence angle



- Image acquisition
 - Brightness of target
 - Incidence angle
 - Surface roughness



- Image acquisition
 - Brightness of target
 - Incidence angle
 - Surface roughness
 - Target moisture



- Image acquisition
 - Brightness of target
 - Baseline separation
 - Repeat passes optimize data quality
 - Stereoscopic effect minimized





Surface conditions affects data quality

- Stable ground reflectors
- Low vegetation
- No wet-lands
- No ice or snow cover

Lava surfaces are optimal reflectors!!!

- Geometrical limitations
 - Topography





- Geometrical limitations
 - Topography



- Geometrical limitations
 - Topography



Geometrical limitations

- Topography
- Surface reflector stability Radar Speckle
 - Result of interacting scatterers on a sub-pixel level
 The vectorized return from one ground pixel

Random but reproducible!

Data error sources

- Atmosphere/Troposphere
 - Stratification creates elevation dependant residuals

Data error sources

- Atmosphere/Troposphere
 - Turbulent mixing creates variable signal delays at all frequencies within scenes



Data error sources

Atmosphere/Troposphere

λ=2.83 cm



03/08/93 - 20/09/96



03/08/93 - 27/06/97

- Data error sources
 - Atmosphere/Troposphere

λ=2.83 cm



15/08/95 - 16/08/95

- Data error sources
 - Atmosphere/Troposphere

λ=2.83 cm

Orbital errors





Residual orbital fringes

Cleaned version

ERS data coverage

Tectonic map





Signals from:

Plate spreading Deep magma recharge Magma chamber

- subsidence
- inflation
- Sill intrusion Co-seismic faulting Aseismic faulting Poro-elastic rebound Co-eruptive deformation Glacial rebound Glacial ice deformation



Pressure changes due to geothermal utilization

λ=2.83 cm

- "Regional" signals e.g. plate spreading
 - Subtle, continuous deformation
 - Geometry of segment important
 - Data strips from north to south coast (~300 km)
 - 100 km wide swath
 - Relative 1-D measurements

Combination with GPS preferable!

- Krafla fires (1975-84)
 - ~20 intrusive episodes
 - 9 eruptive episodes
 - **Co-rifting deformation**
 - Max widening: 9 m
 - 80 km long segment
 - Vertical: meter scale







Point source M1: 21 km depth

Krafla

Point source M2: 2.4 km depth

Buried disloc: 4.6 km depth

λ=2.83 cm



Askja Volcano

- Subsidence measured since 1983 (levelling)
- Magma chamber cooling/contraction
- Gravity indicates a possible draining component



Hekla Volcano

Repose period:

- Lava compaction
- Deep inflation?
- -Substrate loading?





Hekla Volcano

Eruptive period:

- Deep deflation
- Feeder dike

1998 – 2000



- Eyjafjallajökull Volcano
 - Ice-cap covered strato-volcano (1666 m.a.s.l)
 - Characterized by low activity level
 - Two seismic swarms in the 1990es







- Eyjafjallajökull Volcano
 - 1994 seismic swarm



Previous work:

Seismic study: Dike intrusion in N flank GPS study: Point source in S flank



5 km

- Eyjafjallajökull Volcano
 - 19941999



5 km

5 km

Eyjafjallajökull Volcano

- 1994
- 1999
 - Sill evolution

Timespan of modeled intrusion

JFMAMJJASOND



- South Iceland Seismic Zone
 - A zone of "Bookshelf tectonics"



South Iceland Seismic Zone





- 80% energy released in uppermost 6 km
 - Equals 12-31 yrs of
 - accumulated strain



South Iceland Seismic Zone



Water level rise

Water level drop

http://www.os.is

- South Iceland Seismic Zone
 - Poro-elastic rebound during 35 days



Hengill triple junction

 Unusually persistent elevated earthquake activity during 1994-1999
 ~80.000 events detected
 Uplift of 19 mm/yr

- Inflow of magma at about 7 km depth
- Pressure increase may have triggered seismicity



- Reykjanes Peninsula
 - Triggered seismic activity following the SISZ events
 - Plate spreading
 - Subsidence due to geothermal pressure decrease



Reykjanes Peninsula

- Three triggered earthquakes
- Magnitudes all about 5
- Modeling indicates that one event had an aseismic component



Reykjanes Peninsula

- Oblique plate spreading
 - Plate boundary locked at ~5 km depth
 - Ductile flow at greater depth
- Not fully balanced by inflow of magma
 - subsidence at the plate boundary (6.5 mm/yr)





Reference list of Icelandic InSAR results:

- de Zeeuw-van Dalfsen, E., R. Pedersen, F. Sigmundsson, and C. Pagli, Satellite radar interferometry 1993-1999 suggests deep accumulation of magma near the crust-mantle boundary at the Krafla volcanic system, Iceland, GRL, 31 (L13611), doi:10.1029/2004GL020059, 2004.
- Feigl, K.L., J. Gasperi, F. Sigmundsson, and A. Rigo, Crustal deformation near Hengill volcano, Iceland 1993-1998: Coupling between magmatic activity and faulting inferred from elastic modeling of satellite radar interferograms, JGR, 105 (B11), 25,655-25,670, 2000.
- Jónsson, S., P. Segall, R. Pedersen, and G. Björnsson, Post-earthquake ground movements correlated to porepressure transients, Nature, 424, 179-183, 2003.
- Pagli, C., R. Pedersen, F. Sigmundsson, and K.L. Feigl, Triggered fault slip on June 17, 2000 on the Reykjanes Peninsula, SW-Iceland captured by radar interferometry, GRL, 30 (6), 1273-1276, doi:10.1029/2002GL015310, 2003.
- Pagli C., Sigmundsson, F., Árnadóttir, T., Einarsson, P., Sturkell, E., Deflation of the Askja volcanic system: contraints on the deformation source from combined inversion of satellite radar interferograms and GPS measurements, submitted to JVGR, 2004.
- Pedersen, R., F. Sigmundsson, K.L. Feigl, and T. Árnadóttir, Coseismic interferograms of two Ms=6.6 earthquakes in the South Iceland Seismic Zone, June 2000, GRL, 28 (17), 3341-3344, 2001.
- Pedersen, R., S. Jónsson, T. Árnadóttir, F. Sigmundsson, and K.L. Feigl, Fault slip distribution of two June 2000 Mw6.5 earthquakes in South Iceland estimated from joint inversion of InSAR and GPS measurements, EPSL, 213, 487-502, 2003.
- Pedersen, R., and F. Sigmundsson, InSAR based sill model links spatially offset areas of deformation and seismicity for the 1994 unrest episode at Eyjafjallajökull volcano, Iceland, GRL, 31 (L14610), doi:10.1029/2004GL020368, 2004.
- Pedersen, R., Crustal Deformation Associated with the June, 2000, Earthquakes in South Iceland and Repeated Magmatic Intrusions in the Eyjafjallajökull Volcano, 1994 and 1999 - Observed by Satellite Radar Interferometry, PhD thesis, University of Iceland, 2004.
- Pedersen, R. and F. Sigmundsson, Temporal development of the 1999 intrusive episode in the Eyjafjallajökull volcano, Iceland, derived from InSAR images, submitted to Bull Volc, 2004.
- Sigmundsson, F., H. Vadon, and D. Massonnet, Readjustment of the Krafla spreading segment to crustal rifting measured by Satellite Radar Interferometry, **GRL**, 24 (15), 1843-1846, 1997.
- Vadon, H., and F. Sigmundsson, Crustal Deformation from 1992 to 1995 at the Mid-Atlantic Ridge, Southwest Iceland, Mapped by Satellite Radar Interferometry, Science, 275, 193-197, 1997.



Gaesafjoll

M

Krafla

Husavik

